Anti-Breaching system against Adverse tactics using irregular geometries and dynamic placement of volumetric systems.

(Strategy, Military, and Architecture theory) —

This article is a preliminary placeholder and a working theory towards strategic defense.

As breaching methodology is taking place in a military standpoint — What happens if we introduce contemporary architecture as the figure ground or volumetric system for defense infrastructures? (i.e. barracks, Quonsets, tunnels, etc.). Defense nor offense, the question is how this new type of architecture can relate into offensive and defensive capabilities. The objective of this approach is to find a new meta standardization / unstandardized understanding of a new volumetric composition of spaces. This is for the purpose of government defense properties that can possibly emerge a new offset for advance defense infrastructures. Regardless of materials and existing standardization, the questions stands — what are the new perspectives needed to create an impenetrable fortress (metaphor for both physical and tactical theory).

What if we introduce movable dynamic floor plans; Irregular figure ground, yet familiar to those whom are always within its presence; angular advantage by defensive maneuvers against adversary's offensive breach points; opaque vs transparent planar appropriation; the unstandardized placement of key systems (generators, HVAC systems, etc.) to prevent adversary's familiarity of its systems; and many more. If a spy manages to get an existing infrastructure's floor plan, then he or she has knowledge and information that can be deemed dangerous for our nation's safety.

The new military architect can now blur the lines of standardized and unstandardized — creating a new medium of an impenetrable fortress.

From moats, to _____... What is this new defense infrastructure?

-C. Lance Relleve (2017)